

PROPERTY TAX TRENDS ENTERING THE 2017-18 BUDGET CYCLE



VENTURE # CAPITAL

TRUTHS – You may already know



- Most cities saw their peak real estate prices in the bubble in either
 2006 or 2007.
- The northern and eastern most counties entered the recession late and started recovering after many other coastal counties experienced new growth and significant recovery.
- Many county departments do not provide cities with useful information for the budgeting process relative to PROPERTY TAXES
- EVERY CITY IS DIFFERENT-know your City/District

PROPERTY TAX TIMELINE 2017-18

Values lag 12-18 months being reflected on the tax rolls.



January 1st lien date.
Assessor applies CCPI per Prop 13-2% for 2017-18-, and uses prior calendar year property information-sales/new development from 2016.
(January 1, 2017)

Auditor receives the roll on July 1st and applies taxing percentages and direct assessments (July 1, 2017)

Tax payers pay taxes due December 10, 2017 and April 10, 2018 Between January 1 and June 30, 2017 assessor applies Prop 8 changes, roll changes and roll corrections and closes roll.

Treasurer/tax collector receives the roll on August 31. The tax bills are printed and mailed.

Roll received August 31, 2017, tax bills mailed September 2017

Auditor apportions revenue between November 2017 and July-August 2018

THE RECOVERY OF MEDIAN SALE PRICES IN CALIFORNIA

Median sale price increases in comparison to the prices reported as the real estate recovery started 4 years ago in 2012.

The northern and eastern most counties entered the recession later and are lagging the recovery seen in the rest of the State.

Many counties in the North Bay Area have completely recovered with median sale prices reported in 2015 and 2016 exceeding those reported in 2006 or 2007



While all cities are different:

In 6 counties median prices are in excess of those seen at the peak of the real estate bubble in 2006 or 2007.

In Orange County median prices are the same as those experienced at the peak.

Santa Barbara has seen the largest median price point increase.

70.63% over peak price-- +\$505,000

6 additional counties are currently less than 10% off their peak median prices. El Dorado, Los Angeles, Marin, Napa, San Diego, Sonoma.

Prop 8 value restorations may only assist some cities in boosting the bottom line for a maximum of 2 additional years.

COUNTYWIDE MEDIAN PRICE PEAKS OF DETACHED SFR AND CURRENT MEDIAN PRICES THROUGH November 2016

% Current

Peak

	Median Year	Peak Median Price	Current Median Price	Median is Off Peak	% Median is Above Peak
	rear	Price	Price	reak	Above Peak
ALAMEDA	2007	\$640,000	\$730,000		14.06%
BUTTE	2006	\$280,000	\$235,000	-16.07%	
CONTRA COSTA	2006	\$588,000	\$525,000	-10.71%	
EL DORADO	2007	\$450,000	\$415,000	-7.78%	
FRESNO	2006	\$265,000	\$221,000	-16.60%	
HUMBOLDT	2006	\$300,000	\$270,000	-10.00%	
IMPERIAL	2006	\$263,500	\$202,500	-23.15%	
KERN	2006	\$275,000	\$200,000	-27.27%	
LOS ANGELES	2007	\$570,000	\$549,000	-3.68%	
MARIN	2007	\$1,000,000	\$930,000	-7.00%	
MENDOCINO	2007	\$410,000	\$325,000	-20.73%	
MERCED	2006	\$340,000	\$215,000	-36.76%	
MONTEREY	2006	\$635,000	\$500,000	-21.26%	
NAPA	2006	\$615,000	\$582,000	-5.37%	
NEVADA	2006	\$510,000	\$387,250	-24.07%	
ORANGE	2007	\$705,000	\$705,000	0.00%	
PLACER	2005	\$488,500	\$425,000	-13.00%	
RIVERSIDE	2006	\$427,500	\$335,000	-21.64%	
SACRAMENTO	2005	\$380,000	\$307,000	-19.21%	
SAN BERNARDINO	2006	\$365,000	\$270,000	-26.03%	
SAN DIEGO	2006	\$575,000	\$540,000	-6.09%	
SAN JOAQUIN	2006	\$440,000	\$315,000	-28.41%	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2006	\$580,000	\$698,000		20.34
SAN MATEO	2007	\$850,000	\$1,200,000		41.189
SANTA BARBARA	2007	\$715,000	\$1,220,000		70.639
SANTA CLARA	2007	\$774,250	\$960,000		23.999
SANTA CRUZ	2006	\$746,750	\$760,000		1.779
SOLANO	2006	\$470,000	\$360,000	-23.40%	
SONOMA	2005	\$578,250	\$547,000	-5.40%	
STANISLAUS	2006	\$380,000	\$262,800	-30.84%	
SUTTER	2006	\$298,500	\$238,000	-20.27%	
ТАНАМА	2006	\$210,000	\$145,000	-30.95%	
TULARE	2006	\$245,000	\$192,500		
VENTURA	2006	\$665,000	\$595,000		
YOLO	2006	\$435,000	\$379,000		
YUBA	2006	\$310,000	\$235,000		

- During the "Great Recession" all of the counties where we purchase data saw reductions per Proposition 8.
- Most counties saw some restoration of values begin in 2012 but some of the northern and eastern most counties didn't start to recover until later.
- The majority of counties have seen more than 50% of the values reduced per Prop 8 restored through 2016-17.
- 3 counties have seen at least 85% of reduced homes fully recover with reinstated values.

% LEET TO BE VALUE LEET TO BE

PROP-8 STATUS 2016 -ALL SFR HOMES

COUNTY	% LEFT TO BE RESTORED	RESTORED	
ALAMEDA	12.12%	\$1,766,823,306	
BUTTE	60.21%	\$901,018,995	
CONTRA COSTA	20.53%	\$4,826,124,249	
EL DORADO	53.75%	\$1,790,162,582	
FRESNO	48.83%	\$3,128,136,354	
HUMBOLDT	N/A	\$318,146,197	
IMPERIAL	35.97%	\$305,842,115	
KERN	48.57%	\$3,225,518,539	
LOS ANGELES	33.89%	\$19,234,222,016	
MARIN	24.00%	\$887,708,761	
MEDOCINO	73.67%	\$282,969,263	
MERCED	25.15%	\$655,815,925	
MONTEREY	38.89%	. , , ,	
NAPA	26.51%	\$511,949,644	
NEVADA	52.03%	1 -//	
ORANGE	33.84%		
PLACER	28.23%	. , , ,	
RIVERSIDE	36.94%	\$11,857,496,235	
SACRAMENTO	26.13%	1 -//	
SAN BERNARDINO	36.95%		
SAN DIEGO	44.71%		
SAN JOAQUIN	28.25%		
SAN LUIS OBISPO	32.66%	1 -//	
SAN MATEO	12.54%	, ,	
SANTA BARBARA	52.88%		
SANTA CLARA	10.63%		
SANTA CRUZ	29.45%		
SHASTA	47.48%		
SOLANO	24.97%	+ -//	
SONOMA	21.17%		
STANISLAUS	24.98%	+-///	
SUTTER	39.00%	\$316,350,106	
TALLABAA	72.500/	¢200 007 7FF	

72.59%

59.21%

39.75%

22.98%

48.55%

\$396.807.755

\$316,377,732

\$1,324,762,400

\$3,913,330,987 \$417.650.331

TAHAMA

VENTURA

TULARE

YOLO

YUBA



Where do we stand for 2017-18 Property Tax Forecasts?

- The CCPI per Prop 13 for 2017-18 will be <u>2%</u> for the first time in 4 years.
- Median Sale prices have continued to increase year over year, but have leveled off somewhat in 2016. These are still positive in most cities.
- Prop 8 restorations are still available for some communities that have seen a delayed recovery in real estate. This number is difficult to forecast accurately.

BE CONSERVATIVE IN THIS ESTIMATE

 New construction activity has taken off and its impact on the bottom line needs to be considered. Use completed new construction in 2016.



WHERE DO I GET THE INFORMATION TO POPULATE A FORECASTING MODEL?

	WHAT	WHERE
✓	Real Property Values	CY Auditor Value Certification Report for CCPI application
✓	The California CPI Prop 13 increase	State Department of Finance @ end of December
✓	Transfers of ownership and the change between the sale price and the AV.	Real Estate Data Service CoreLogic –real estate products This may need to be researched monthly.
✓	Prop 8 value restoration amounts	Assessor's Office is the only place to get this info.
✓	Base Year Values in former RDAs	Auditor value reports—the difference between the incremental value and total value reported.
	Personal Property Values Non-Operating Utility Values	Annual Value Certification Reports Annual Value Certification Reports
✓	City share of 1% for taxing factor	Current year revenue divided by CY Assessed Value
✓ ✓ ✓		Auditor remittance advices Auditor remittance advices Clerk of the Board of Supervisors



GENERAL FUND REVENUE ESTIMATE

2017-18 Revenue Estimate based on 2016-17 Values and Estimated Changes

	General Fund	VLFAA
General Fund and BY Values 2016-17	\$8,600,440,546	
Citywide Net Taxable Value 2016-17		\$11,279,153,786
Real Property Value (Incl. Prop 8 parcels)	\$8,240,722,319	\$10,892,242,525
CPI of Non Prop 8 Parcels (2.000%)	\$149,912,934	\$201,842,416 < CALCULATED
Transfer of Ownership Assessed Value Change	\$113,263,316	\$174,205,909 < BASED ON 2016 SALES TRANSACTIONS
Est. SFR Prop 8 Adj Based on Recent SFR Price	\$32,139,948	\$33,492,415 < ESTIMATED BASED ON MEDIAN PRICES
2017-18 Estimated Real Property Value	\$8,536,038,517	\$11,301,783,265
Base Year Values	\$136,625,007	Included in AV < BUDGETED FLAT
Secured Personal Property Value (0.0% growth)	\$3,796,585	\$4,020,446 < BUDGETED FLAT
Unsecured Personal Property Value (0.0% growth)	\$218,840,337	\$382,434,517 < BUDGETED FLAT
Nonunitary Utility Value	\$456,298	\$456,298
Enter Completed New Construction		< TO BE ADDED BY CITY/DISTRICT
2017-18 Estimated Net Taxable Value	\$8,895,756,744	\$11,688,694,526
Estimated Total Percent Change 2017-18	3.43%	3.63%
Taxed @ 1%	\$88,957,567	
Aircraft Value	Ψ10,100,200	< BUDGETED FLAT
Average City Share 0.1055552745		< WEIGHTED 1% SHARE CITY/DISTRICT WIDE
Aircraft Rate (.01 * 0.333333333)	\$245,884	
Enter Unitary Taxes Budgeted Flat	•	< TO BE ADDED BY CITY/DISTRICT
Net GF Estimate for 2017-18	\$9,635,825	
Enter Suppl. Apportionment Recd Avg. 3 Yrs		TO BE ADDED BY CITY/DISTRICT
Enter Delinquent Apportionment Recd Avg. 3 Yrs		< TO BE ADDED BY CITY/DISTRICT
Enter Delinquent Apportionment Recd Avg. 3 Yrs Base Value of VLFAA		< TO BE ADDED BY CITY/DISTRICT \$8,483,204



CAUTIONARY NOTES



Events that result in more or less revenue after the close of the tax roll are pooled and allocated per AB-8. These include:

- SUPPLEMENTAL PAYMENTS
- ROLL CORRECTIONS positive or negative
- TAX PAYER REFUNDS RESULTING FROM SUCCESSFUL APPEALS
- REDEMPTIONS IN NON-TEETER CITIES

Many assessors do not meet with city staff to review their work product or assist with providing data that will impact your budget forecasts

- Don't forget that your VLF in Lieu Allocation is tied to citywide growth in values
- Is your agency expecting any residual revenue from former RDAs?

BE PREPARED TO MAKE MID YEAR ADJUSTMENTS



PAULA CONE, President HdL Coren & Cone

pcone@hdlccpropertytax.com

909.861.4335